

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/996,637	11/29/2001	Steven Peter Sheltz	9D-EC-19863/064853.030	4051	
29391	7590 10/20/2004		EXAM	INER	
BEUSSE BROWNLEE WOLTER MORA & MAIRE, P. A.			FISCHETTI,	FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A	
390 NORTH	ORANGE AVENUE	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ORLANDO,	FL 32801		3627		

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-2	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/996,637	SHELTZ ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Joseph A. Fischetti	3627		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	1			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Application Papers 4) □ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 and 19-24 is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 11-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accomplication and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	er withdrawn from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to by the Extra drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			

Application/Control Number: 09/946,637

Art Unit: 3627

- 💉

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 11, lines 10 and 11, the use of the words "if" taken with the phrase "and/or" is indefinite because the invention cannot be definitively defined by alternative wording. The wording confusing generates at least four possible alternative permeations of limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker '099 in view of Call. Walker et al disclose a method for managing electronic data to enable a transaction between a consumer and a service center, by: generating an offer for extended warranty services associated with one or more products purchased by the consumer (pos terminal outputs signal indicative of identified upsell col. 6 lines 39-41 and upsell can be extended

Application/Control Number: 09/946,637

Art Unit: 3627

warranty col. 5 lines 55-56); selecting a paper solicit and/or an electronic solicit to be delivered to the consumer that will incorporate the offer (POS displaying text or graphic is read as a selection of an electronic solicit); and delivering the selected solicit to the consumer (POS delivers solicit to consumer via the display).

However, it is silent on making its offer via different forms of communication, including the use if email notifications. Call does offer the customer the options of receiving notifications via e-mail, telephone or regular mail see col. 6 lines 13-15. In addition Call discloses in col. 2 embedding in a message a HTTP request which is returned with a locator header containing a destination URL specifying the particular Internet address. It would be obvious to modify Walker '099 to include the communication options of Call since the motivation would be to satisfy the customer and further to modify Walker '099 to include the unique identifier, disclosed as associated with the offers set forth in Walker at col. 10 lines 10-67, within the body of the email so as to embed a link within the email to the offer, the motivation being that this would ease the effort of the consumer in finding the solicit.

Official notice is taken with regard to the suppress function in email sending which is mandated by law in many jurisdictions.

Applicant's arguments filed 7/30/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states that no teaching of an "embedded link" in the prior art. However, nowhere in the claim does Applicant use these specific terms. Applicant next argues that the message in Call is not an e-mail, but this is not

Application/Control Number: 09/946,637

Art Unit: 3627

what the examiner had intended. It is Walker which uses an e-mail and the teaching taken form Call is that of using the HTTP request in a message, (message is a common term in computer instructions) and thus to embed such a locator in an e mail provided by Walker would be obvious. Finally, Applicant argues the nonobviousness of the combination. However, the two reference have much in common e.g., goal disseminating information to buyers concerning products bought. Hence with the goals being so similar, motivation for combining is deemed proper.

The newly presented language in claim 11, is too confusing to be given weight - the language would have to be interpreted in the alternative to four degrees to be understood which is not within the purview of 112 second paragraph.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/9\\$6,637

Art Unit: 3627

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Primary Examiner Joseph A. Fischetti at telephone number (703) 305-0731.

